

**TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO):**

**SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE**

Date: 15.03.13	Surveyor: Mknagg
----------------	------------------

<b>Tree details</b> TPO Ref: 512 (2013) Tree/Group No: W1 Species: Ash, Sycamore, Oak, Silver Birch, beech, Elm & Hazel
--

**Part 1: Amenity assessment**

**a) Condition & suitability for TPO:**

Refer to Guidance Note for definitions

- 5) Good Highly suitable
- 3) Fair Suitable
- 1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable
- 0) Unsafe Unsuitable
- 0) Dead Unsuitable

**Score & Notes 3)** Generally trees within the woodland are in a good overall condition, there is however little evidence of management in the recent past.

**b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO:**

Refer to 'Species Guide' section in Guidance Note

- 5) 100+ Highly suitable
- 4) 40-100 Very suitable
- 2) 20-40 Suitable
- 1) 10-20 Just suitable
- 0) <10 Unsuitable

**Score & Notes 4)** Trees range from young to mature, many of the species have potential longevity in excess of 100+

**c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:**

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use; refer to Guidance Note

- 5) Very large trees, or large trees that are prominent landscape features Highly suitable
- 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public Suitable
- 3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only Just suitable
- 2) Small trees, or larger trees visible only with difficulty Unlikely to be suitable
- 1) Young, v. small, or trees not visible to the public, regardless of size Probably unsuitable

**Score & Notes 4)** Many of the trees are mature, and in excess of 15m in height, established adjacent to a busy public highway

**d) Other factors**

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Members of groups of trees important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features

**Score & Notes 4)** The trees in question forms a mature and well established deciduous woodland

**Part 2: Expediency assessment**

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify; refer to Guidance Note

- 5) Known threat to tree
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only
- 0) Tree known to be an actionable nuisance

**Score & Notes 5)** x7 young and mature trees have been felled, prior to submission of a planning application for development – x5 additional mature trees are threatened

**Part 3: Decision guide**

- Any 0 Do not apply TPO
- 1-6 TPO indefensible
- 7-10 Does not merit TPO
- 11-14 TPO defensible
- 15+ Definitely merits TPO

**Add Scores for Total:**  
20

**Decision:**  
Definitely merits TPO